

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,124	09/10/2003	Andrew Michael Duggan	MRKS/0099	3542
7	590 05/06/2005	EXAMINER		
WILLIAM B.	WILLIAM B. PATTERSON STEPHENSON, DANIEL P			
MOSER, PAT	R, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.			
Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3040 Post Oak Blvd.			3672	
Houston, TX 77056			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/659,124	DUGGAN, ANDREW MICHAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel P Stephenson	3672	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. 19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	rply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on		
a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objectio			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority do			
2. Certified copies of the priority do		•	
3. Copies of the certified copies of t application from the International	he priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received	
Oce the attached detailed Office action is	of a list of the definied copies not i	obbiyod.	
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948) Paper No(s)	)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	D/SB/08) 5) ∐ Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: \_\_



Application/Control Number: 10/659,124 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. It is noted that the dependency of claims 55 and 56 are in error. They have been considered to be dependent from claim 54, instead of claim 52 as currently written.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-13, 32, 35, 38, 41-43, 44, 47, 50, 54-56, 64, 67, 70 and 73-75, are drawn to a tubing expansion tool which is lockable and its method of use, classified in class 166, subclass 55.
  - II. Claims 14-23, 33, 36, 39, 45, 48, 51, 57-59, 65, 68, 71, 76 and 77, drawn to tubing expansion tool where the expansion members are biased inwardly, classified in class 166, subclass 55.
  - III. Claims 24-31, 34, 37, 40, 46, 49, 52, 52, 60-63, 66, 69, 72, 78 and 79, drawn to tubing expansion tool and its method of use, classified in class 166, subclass 55.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a tool to verify the diameter of tubing since it is locked in place. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Art Unit: 3672

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require the bias of the expansion members. The subcombination has separate utility such as a tubing expander for larger tubing diameters.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel P Stephenson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7035. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,124

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3672

DPS 985